



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION IX  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105

FEB 17 2015

J. Husicks

(b) (6)

Dear J. Husicks:

The US Environmental Protection Agency has received your letter dated January 24, 2015 regarding the Bay Delta Conservation Plan EIS. Thank you for taking the time to provide us with your concerns regarding diesel truck emissions and habitat restoration. As might be expected for any project of this size and scope, a broad diversity of complex environmental issues have been identified throughout the permitting and review process for the BDCP. EPA has worked with federal, state, and local agencies and stakeholders, including the National Marine Fisheries Service, the Bureau of Reclamation, the US Fish and Wildlife Service, the US Army Corps of Engineers and the Department of Water Resources, to help bring a number of issues to light and to offer possible solutions. EPA will continue to work diligently with all involved stakeholders to help minimize the impact of this project upon human health and the environment to the maximum extent practicable.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Stephanie Skophammer".

Stephanie Skophammer  
Environmental Review Office  
US Environmental Protection Agency



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION IX  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105

FEB 17 2015

Burt Wilson

(b) (6)

Dear Mr. Wilson:

The US Environmental Protection Agency has received your letter dated January 24, 2015 regarding the Bay Delta Conservation Plan EIS. Thank you for taking the time to provide us with your concerns regarding diesel truck emissions and habitat restoration. As might be expected for any project of this size and scope, a broad diversity of complex environmental issues have been identified throughout the permitting and review process for the BDCP. EPA has worked with federal, state, and local agencies and stakeholders, including the National Marine Fisheries Service, the Bureau of Reclamation, the US Fish and Wildlife Service, the US Army Corps of Engineers and the Department of Water Resources, to help bring a number of issues to light and to offer possible solutions. EPA will continue to work diligently with all involved stakeholders to help minimize the impact of this project upon human health and the environment to the maximum extent practicable.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Stephanie Skophammer", with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Stephanie Skophammer  
Environmental Review Office  
US Environmental Protection Agency



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION IX  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105

FEB 17 2015

Herbert Hunn  
Eskaton Monroe Center

(b) (6)

Dear Mr. Hunn:

The US Environmental Protection Agency has received your letter dated January 24, 2015 regarding the Bay Delta Conservation Plan EIS. Thank you for taking the time to provide us with your concerns regarding diesel truck emissions and habitat restoration. As might be expected for any project of this size and scope, a broad diversity of complex environmental issues have been identified throughout the permitting and review process for the BDCP. EPA has worked with federal, state, and local agencies and stakeholders, including the National Marine Fisheries Service, the Bureau of Reclamation, the US Fish and Wildlife Service, the US Army Corps of Engineers and the Department of Water Resources, to help bring a number of issues to light and to offer possible solutions. EPA will continue to work diligently with all involved stakeholders to help minimize the impact of this project upon human health and the environment to the maximum extent practicable.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Stephanie Skophammer".

Stephanie Skophammer  
Environmental Review Office  
US Environmental Protection Agency



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION IX  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105

FEB 17 2015

Robert C. Weidner

(b) (6)

Dear Mr. Weidner:

The US Environmental Protection Agency has received your letter dated January 24, 2015 regarding the Bay Delta Conservation Plan EIS. Thank you for taking the time to provide us with your concerns regarding diesel truck emissions and habitat restoration. As might be expected for any project of this size and scope, a broad diversity of complex environmental issues have been identified throughout the permitting and review process for the BDCP. EPA has worked with federal, state, and local agencies and stakeholders, including the National Marine Fisheries Service, the Bureau of Reclamation, the US Fish and Wildlife Service, the US Army Corps of Engineers and the Department of Water Resources, to help bring a number of issues to light and to offer possible solutions. EPA will continue to work diligently with all involved stakeholders to help minimize the impact of this project upon human health and the environment to the maximum extent practicable.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Stephanie Skophammer".

Stephanie Skophammer  
Environmental Review Office  
US Environmental Protection Agency



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION IX  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105

FEB 17 2015

Shannon E. Davis

(b) (6)

Dear Shannon Davis:

The US Environmental Protection Agency has received your letter dated January 24, 2015 regarding the Bay Delta Conservation Plan EIS. Thank you for taking the time to provide us with your concerns regarding diesel truck emissions and habitat restoration. As might be expected for any project of this size and scope, a broad diversity of complex environmental issues have been identified throughout the permitting and review process for the BDCP. EPA has worked with federal, state, and local agencies and stakeholders, including the National Marine Fisheries Service, the Bureau of Reclamation, the US Fish and Wildlife Service, the US Army Corps of Engineers and the Department of Water Resources, to help bring a number of issues to light and to offer possible solutions. EPA will continue to work diligently with all involved stakeholders to help minimize the impact of this project upon human health and the environment to the maximum extent practicable.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Stephanie Skophammer".

Stephanie Skophammer  
Environmental Review Office  
US Environmental Protection Agency



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION IX  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105

FEB 26 2015

James E. Verboon  
[REDACTED]

Dear Mr. Verboon:

The US Environmental Protection Agency has received your letter dated January 24, 2015 regarding the Bay Delta Conservation Plan EIS. Thank you for taking the time to provide us with your concerns regarding diesel truck emissions and habitat restoration. As might be expected for any project of this size and scope, a broad diversity of complex environmental issues have been identified throughout the permitting and review process for the BDCP. EPA has worked with federal, state, and local agencies and stakeholders, including the National Marine Fisheries Service, the Bureau of Reclamation, the US Fish and Wildlife Service, the US Army Corps of Engineers and the Department of Water Resources, to help bring a number of issues to light and to offer possible solutions. EPA will continue to work diligently with all involved stakeholders to help minimize the impact of this project upon human health and the environment to the maximum extent practicable.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Stephanie Skophammer", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Stephanie Skophammer  
Environmental Review Office  
US Environmental Protection Agency



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION IX  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105

AUG 10 2015

Robert E. Wright  
Friends of the River

(b) (6)

Dear Mr. Wright:

The US EPA has received your letter dated July 22, 2015 regarding the Bay Delta Conservation Plan/California WaterFix. We appreciate your interest in the health and restoration of the important Bay-Delta ecosystem. EPA is reviewing the Bureau of Reclamation's Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement that evaluates the BDCP/CA WaterFix. We will submit our comments to Reclamation by October 30, 2015, the end of the public comment period. They will also be posted to our website. To view all our previous comments on the project, please see our website: <http://www2.epa.gov/sfbay-delta/bay-delta-conservation-plan-epa-documents>

Thank you for taking the time to provide us with your thoughts about the proposed project.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Stephanie Skophammer".

Stephanie Skophammer  
Environmental Review Office  
US Environmental Protection Agency



# Correspondence Management System

Control Number: AX-15-001-2229

Printing Date: August 04, 2015 05:12:31



## Citizen Information

**Citizen/Originator:** Piepho, Mary N.

Organization: Contra Costa County

Address: (b) (6)

**Nottoli, Don**

Organization: Sacramento County Board of Supervisors, District 5

Address: (b) (6)

**Miller, Katherine M.**

Organization: San Joaquin County Board of Supervisors

Address: (b) (6)

**Vasquez, John M.**

Organization: Solano County Board of Supervisors

Address: (b) (6)

**Provenza, Jim**

Organization: Yolo County

Address: (b) (6)

**Constituent:** N/A

**Committee:** N/A

**Sub-Committee:** N/A

## Control Information

**Control Number:** AX-15-001-2229

**Alternate Number:** N/A

**Status:** Pending

**Closed Date:** N/A

**Due Date:** Aug 18, 2015

**# of Extensions:** 0

**Letter Date:** Jul 29, 2015

**Received Date:** Aug 3, 2015

**Addressee:** AD-Administrator

**Addressee Org:** EPA

**Contact Type:** LTR (Letter)

**Priority Code:** Normal

**Signature:** DX-Direct Reply

**Signature Date:** N/A

**File Code:** 404-141-02-01\_141\_b Controlled and Major Corr. Record copy of the offices of Division Directors and other personnel.

**Subject:** DRF - Daily Reading File - Request that the public comment period for Recirculated Draft Environmental Impact Report and Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement be extended by at least 60-days

**Instructions:** DX-Respond directly to this citizen's questions, statements, or concerns

**Instruction Note:** N/A

**General Notes:** N/A

**CC:** Matthew Klasen - OW

OCIR - Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations

OCIR-ORO - Office of Regional Operations

OW - Office of Water -- Immediate Office

## Lead Information

**Lead Author:** N/A





# Correspondence Management System

Control Number: AX-15-001-2229

Printing Date: August 04, 2015 05:12:31



## Lead Assignments:

Assigner	Office	Assignee	Assigned Date	Due Date	Complete Date
Ken Labbe	OEX	R9	Aug 4, 2015	Aug 18, 2015	N/A
<b>Instruction:</b> DX-Respond directly to this citizen's questions, statements, or concerns					

## Supporting Information

Supporting Author: N/A

## Supporting Assignments:

Assigner	Office	Assignee	Assigned Date
No Record Found.			

## History

Action By	Office	Action	Date
Ken Labbe	OEX	Control Created	Aug 4, 2015
Ken Labbe	OEX	Assign R9 as lead office	Aug 4, 2015

## Comments

Commentator	Comment	Date
No Record Found.		



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION IX  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105

AUG 20 2015

Mary N. Piepho  
Contra Costa County

(b) (6)

Don Nottoli  
Sacramento County Board of Supervisors, District 5

(b) (6)

Katherine M. Miller  
San Joaquin County Board of Supervisors

(b) (6)

John M. Vasquez  
Solano County Board of Supervisors

(b) (6)

Jim Provenza  
Yolo County

(b) (6)

Dear Ms. Piepho, Mr. Nottoli, Ms. Miller, Mr. Vasquez, and Mr. Provenza:

The US EPA has received your letter dated July 29, 2015 regarding the request for extension of the public review and comment period for the Bay Delta Conservation Plan Supplemental Draft EIS. The approval for extending the comment period must come from the lead agency, which in this case is the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation.

Thank you for taking the time to provide us with your thoughts about the proposed project.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Stephanie Skophammer".

Stephanie Skophammer  
Environmental Review Office  
US Environmental Protection Agency



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION IX  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105

MAR 25 2016

Robert Wright  
Friends of the River

(b) (6)

Conner Everts  
Environmental Water Caucus

(b) (6)

Jeff Miller  
Center for Biological Diversity

(b) (6)

Dear Mr. Wright, Mr. Everts, and Mr. Miller:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency received your letter dated March 14, 2016 regarding the need for scientific integrity in the California WaterFix project process.

EPA has no formal role in the development or review of the Biological Opinion. Accurate scientific analysis, expert agency comments, and public scrutiny are essential to implementing NEPA and we support science-based decision-making. EPA will review the Final Environmental Impact Statement of the California WaterFix when it is released for public review.

Thank you for taking the time to provide us with your thoughts about the WaterFix project.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Stephanie Gordon".

Stephanie Gordon  
Environmental Review Section  
US Environmental Protection Agency

**From:** Skophammer, Stephanie  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** BDCP Comment Period Extension Request  
**Date:** Monday, February 03, 2014 5:23:00 PM

---

Hello Mr. Gwinn,

The US EPA has received your emails dated January 22nd & 26th 2014 regarding the request for extension of the public review and comment period for the Bay Delta Conservation Plan EIS on behalf of the Delta Counties Coalition and San Joaquin Board of Supervisors. The approval for extending the comment period must come from the lead federal agencies, which in this case are the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, National Marine Fisheries Service, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Thank you for taking the time to provide us with your thoughts about the proposed project.

**Stephanie Skophammer**

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9

Environmental Review Office (CED-2)

75 Hawthorne St.

San Francisco, CA 94105

(415) 972-3098



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION IX  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105

**JAN 23 2014**

Robyn DiFalco  
Carol Perkins  
Butte Environmental Council

(b) (6)

Dear Ms. DiFalco and Ms. Perkins:

The US EPA has received your letter dated January 10, 2014 regarding the request for extension of the public review and comment period for the Bay Delta Conservation Plan EIS. The approval for extending the comment period must come from the lead agencies, which in this case are the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, National Marine Fisheries Service, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Thank you for taking the time to provide us with your thoughts about the proposed project.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Stephanie Skophammer", with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Stephanie Skophammer  
Environmental Review Office  
US Environmental Protection Agency



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION IX  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105

FEB 03 2014

Carolee Krieger  
California Water Impact Network

(b) (6)

Dear Ms. Krieger:

The US EPA has received your letter dated January 10, 2014 regarding the request for extension of the public review and comment period for the Bay Delta Conservation Plan EIS. The approval for extending the comment period must come from the lead agencies, which in this case are the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, National Marine Fisheries Service, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Thank you for taking the time to provide us with your thoughts about the proposed project.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Stephanie Skophammer".

Stephanie Skophammer  
Environmental Review Office  
US Environmental Protection Agency



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION IX  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105

MAR 13 2015

Gloria Fadley

(b) (6)

Dear Ms. Fadley:

The US Environmental Protection Agency has received your letter dated February 5, 2015 regarding the Bay Delta Conservation Plan EIS. Thank you for taking the time to provide us with your concerns regarding diesel truck emissions and habitat restoration. As might be expected for any project of this size and scope, a broad diversity of complex environmental issues have been identified throughout the permitting and review process for the BDCP. EPA has worked with federal, state, and local agencies and stakeholders, including the National Marine Fisheries Service, the Bureau of Reclamation, the US Fish and Wildlife Service, the US Army Corps of Engineers and the Department of Water Resources, to help bring a number of issues to light and to offer possible solutions. EPA will continue to work diligently with all involved stakeholders to help minimize the impact of this project upon human health and the environment to the maximum extent practicable.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Stephanie Skophammer".

Stephanie Skophammer  
Environmental Review Office



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION IX  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105

JAN 02 2014

Nick Di Croce  
Environmental Water Caucus

(b) (6)

Dear Mr. Di Croce,

The US EPA has received your letter dated December 19, 2013 regarding the request for extension of the public review and comment period for the Bay Delta Conservation Plan EIS. The approval for extending the comment period must come from the lead agencies, which in this case are the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, National Marine Fisheries Service, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Thank you for taking the time to provide us with your thoughts about the proposed project.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Stephanie Skophammer".

Stephanie Skophammer  
Environmental Review Office  
US Environmental Protection Agency





UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION IX  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105

NOV 18 2013

Nick Di Croce  
David Nesmith  
Environmental Water Caucus

(b) (6)

Dear Mr. Di Croce and Mr. Nesmith,

The US EPA has received your letter regarding the Bay Delta Conservation Plan. We appreciate your interest in the health and restoration of the important Bay-Delta ecosystem. While the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Marine Fisheries Service, and Bureau of Reclamation are the lead federal agencies responsible for preparing the Environmental Impact Statement for the BDCP and coordinating with the California Department of Water Resources, EPA's role is that of a cooperating agency on the development of the EIS.

As might be expected for any project of this size and scope, a broad diversity of complex issues have been identified throughout the permitting and review process for the BDCP. EPA has been providing feedback to the lead agencies to help identify some of these issues and potential solutions. We have had several discussions with stakeholders, including some of the Environmental Water Caucus member organizations. We have prepared a number of public letters containing our concerns and recommendations related to the environmental review and permitting of the project. If you would like to read our comments on the public Administrative Draft EIS, please see our website at:  
<http://www2.epa.gov/sfbay-delta/bay-delta-conservation-plan-epa-documents>

---

EPA will review and comment on the Draft EIS when it is released for public review, and will continue to work with the action agencies to seek resolution of the concerns that we have raised.

Thank you for taking the time to provide us with your thoughts about the proposed project.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Kathleen Martyn Goforth".

Kathleen Martyn Goforth  
Manager, Environmental Review Office  
US Environmental Protection Agency



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION IX  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105

MAR 13 2015

Guy Marty

(b)  
(6)

Dear Mr. Marty:

The US Environmental Protection Agency has received your letter dated February 5, 2015 regarding the Bay Delta Conservation Plan EIS. Thank you for taking the time to provide us with your concerns regarding diesel truck emissions and habitat restoration. As might be expected for any project of this size and scope, a broad diversity of complex environmental issues have been identified throughout the permitting and review process for the BDCP. EPA has worked with federal, state, and local agencies and stakeholders, including the National Marine Fisheries Service, the Bureau of Reclamation, the US Fish and Wildlife Service, the US Army Corps of Engineers and the Department of Water Resources, to help bring a number of issues to light and to offer possible solutions. EPA will continue to work diligently with all involved stakeholders to help minimize the impact of this project upon human health and the environment to the maximum extent practicable.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Stephanie Skophammer".

Stephanie Skophammer  
Environmental Review Office



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION IX  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105

MAR 13 2015

Burt Wilson

(b) (6)

Dear Mr. Wilson:

Your February 24, 2015 letter to Regional Administrator Jared Blumenfeld regarding the Bay Delta Conservation Plan EIS/EIR's Chapter 22 Air Quality was forwarded to me for reply. We appreciate that you have taken the time to provide us with your concerns regarding diesel truck emissions, greenhouse gases, and the associated environmental and public health effects from these emissions. EPA's comments on the Draft EIS, which were signed by Mr. Blumenfeld, include comments on air quality and are available online at <http://www.epa.gov/region9/nepa/letters/ca/bay-delta-conservation-plan-deis.pdf>. EPA will review and publicly comment on any future iteration of the EIS when it becomes available. We encourage you to submit your comments to the lead agencies during the next public review process, as well.

EPA works with the California Air Resources Board to establish and adopt ambient air quality standards to protect public health and the environment. The Clean Air Act requires the local air districts within the state of California develop plans to attain and maintain these standards. These plans are called State Implementation Plans. For more information on California air quality standards, please see: <http://www.arb.ca.gov/research/aaqs/caaqs/caaqs.htm>. For more information on California Air Basins, please see: <http://www.arb.ca.gov/knowzone/basin/basin.htm>.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Stephanie Skophammer".

Stephanie Skophammer  
Environmental Review Office



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION IX  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105

JAN 23 2014

Gary Graham Hughes  
Environmental Protection Information Center

(b) (6)

Dear Mr. Hughes:

The US EPA has received your letter dated January 13, 2014 regarding the request for extension of the public review and comment period for the Bay Delta Conservation Plan EIS. The approval for extending the comment period must come from the lead agencies, which in this case are the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, National Marine Fisheries Service, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Thank you for taking the time to provide us with your thoughts about the proposed project.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Stephanie Skophammer", followed by a horizontal line.

Stephanie Skophammer  
Environmental Review Office  
US Environmental Protection Agency

**From:** Skophammer, Stephanie  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Extension of the BDCP Comment Period  
**Date:** Thursday, February 13, 2014 8:17:00 AM

---

Hello Flavia and Karen,

Thank you for emailing the Environmental Protection Agency on behalf of your organization regarding the extension for the comment period of the Bay Delta Conservation Plan. The approval for extending the comment period must come from the lead federal agencies on the project- which are the US Fish and Wildlife Service, National Marine Fisheries Service, and the Bureau of Reclamation.

Thank you so much,  
Stephanie Skophammer

**Stephanie Skophammer**

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9  
Environmental Review Office (CED-2)  
75 Hawthorne St.  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
(415) 972-3098  
<http://www.epa.gov/region9/nepa/>



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION IX

75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105

DEC 09 2013

Robert E. Wright  
Kathryn Cotter  
Patrick Huber  
Friends of the River

(b) (6)

Dear Mr. Wright, Ms. Cotter, and Mr. Huber:

The US EPA has received your letter dated November 18, 2013 regarding the Bay Delta Conservation Plan. We appreciate your interest in the health and restoration of the important Bay-Delta ecosystem. While the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Marine Fisheries Service, and Bureau of Reclamation are the lead federal agencies responsible for preparing the Environmental Impact Statement for the BDCP and coordinating with the California Department of Water Resources, EPA's role is that of a cooperating agency on the development of the EIS.

As might be expected for any project of this size and scope, a broad diversity of complex issues have been identified throughout the permitting and review process for the BDCP. EPA has been providing feedback to the lead agencies to help identify some of these issues and potential solutions and we appreciate your review of our comments on the administrative drafts. EPA will review and comment on the Draft EIS that was released for public review today, December 9, 2013, and will continue to work with the action agencies to seek resolution of the concerns that we have raised.

Thank you for taking the time to provide us with your thoughts about the proposed project.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Stephanie Skophammer".

Stephanie Skophammer  
Environmental Review Office  
US Environmental Protection Agency



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION IX  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105

**JAN 23 2014**

Reuben Childress  
Foothill Conservancy

(b) (6)

Dear Mr. Childress,

The US EPA has received your letter dated January 13, 2014 regarding the request for extension of the public review and comment period for the Bay Delta Conservation Plan EIS. The approval for extending the comment period must come from the lead agencies, which in this case are the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, National Marine Fisheries Service, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Thank you for taking the time to provide us with your thoughts about the proposed project.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Stephanie Skophammer", followed by a horizontal line.

Stephanie Skophammer  
Environmental Review Office  
US Environmental Protection Agency

**number     date of letter     citizen/organizer**

- 1    10/7/2013   Nick DiCroce & David Nesmith, Environmental Water Caucus
- 2    11/18/2013   Robert Wright, Kathryn Cotter, Patrick Huber, Friends of the River
- 3    12/19/2013   Nick DiCroce Environmental Water Caucus
- 4    1/13/2014   Gary Graham Hughes, Environmental Protection Information Center
- 5    1/13/2014   Reuben Childress, Foothill Conservancy
- 6    1/10/2014   Robyn DiFalco and Carol Perkins, Butte Environmental Council
- 7    1/10/2014   Carolee Krieger, California Water Impact Network
- 8    2/7/2014   Flavia Franco, San Mateo County Democracy for America
- 9    1/24/2014   Edward Moreno, Sierra Club
- 10   1/22/2014   Roger Gwinn, behalf of Delta Counties Coalition
- 11   1/24/2014   Roger Gwinn, behalf of San Joaquin Board of Supervisors
- 12   1/28/2014   Bob Wright, Friends of the River



**concern**

strong opposition to the project  
the deis is inadequate

extension of comment period

extension of comment period

extension of comment period

extension of comment period

extension of comment period

extension of comment period

extension of comment period

extension of comment period

extension of comment period

lead agency is not posting comments on  
the website

**date responded**

via letter 11/18/13

via letter 12/9/13

via letter 1/2/14

via letter 1/23/14

via letter 1/23/14

via letter 1/23/14

via letter 2/3/14

via email 2/13/14

via letter 2/11/14

via email 2/3/14

via email 2/3/14

Tom H. via email 2/14/14